

Statement of Substance of Interview

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Ha for conducting a telephone interview with Applicant's attorneys, Dale G. Mohlenhoff and Robert G. Hartman, on May 1, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorneys presented arguments to overcome the non-statutory subject matter rejections and presented arguments distinguishing Applicant's claims from the cited Jensenworth reference. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections, and in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant's attorneys also proposed possible amendments.

Applicant's attorneys understood the Examiner to tentatively agree that the claims, amended as discussed during the interview to highlight aspects of the claimed invention, distinguish over the reference of record. Applicant herein amends independent claims 1, 7, 15, 19, 21 and 29 to highlight the noted features as discussed during the interview. Claims 2, 8-11, 20, 22-25 and 30 are canceled. Accordingly, claims 1, 3-7, 12-19, 21, 26-29 and 31-33 are believed to be allowable over the cited documents.